arXiv:1612.09094v2 [quant-ph] 9 Jan 2017

Quantum simulation of discrete curved spacetime by the Bose-Hubbard model: from analog acoustic black hole to quantum phase transition

F. Bemani,[1] R. Roknizadeh,[1,2,*] and M. H. Naderi[1,2]

[1]*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Isfahan, Hezar Jerib, 81746-73441, Isfahan, Iran*
[2]*Quantum Optics Group, Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Isfahan, Hezar Jerib, 81746-73441, Isfahan, Iran*

We present a theoretical scheme to simulate quantum field theory in a discrete curved spacetime based on the Bose-Hubbard model describing a Bose-Einstein condensate trapped in an optical lattice. Using the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian, we first introduce a hydrodynamic presentation of the system evolution in discrete space. We then show that the phase (density) fluctuations of the trapped bosons in optical lattice in superfluid (Mott insulator) obey the Klein-Gordon equation for a massless scalar field propagating in a discrete curved spacetime. We derive the effective metrics associated with the superfluid and Mott-insulator phases and, in particular, we find that in the superfluid phase the metric exhibits a singularity which is the manifestation of an analog acoustic black hole. The proposed approach is found to provide a suitable platform for quantum simulation of various spacetime metrics through adjusting the system parameters.

Keywords: Quantum simulation, Bose-Einstein condensation, Bose- Hubbard model, Quantum fields in curved spacetime, Quantum fields on lattice

I. INTRODUCTION

Two celebrated theories of the modern physics are the general theory of relativity (GTR) and the quantum field theory (QFT). GTR unifies the special theory of relativity and the gravity and it evolves our understanding of the universe around us by providing a geometric interpretation of gravitation. It has some magnificent predictions such as light deflection by gravity, gravitational waves, and black holes [1]. On the other hand, QFT which combines the elements of quantum mechanics and the special theory of relativity, theoretically describes the interactions of the fundamental forces and subatomic particles. QFT in the curved spacetime leads to fascinating predictions such as particle production by the time-dependent gravitational fields, or by the time-independent gravitational fields that contain horizons [2]. QFT in the curved spacetime is regarded as the first approximation to the quantum gravity.

Even for the small values of spacetime curvature, experimental observation of the predictions of QFT in the curved spacetime seems to be impossible. To examine such predictions, one can employ the notion of quantum simulation (see [3] and references therein). Various analog models have been proposed as the simulators for these theories [4–7]. These analog models provide accessible experimental models for quantum field theory in curved space-time. Some properties (mainly kinematic) of GTR can be investigated by the analogies with accessible physical systems [4–7].

Since their first experimental realization in alkalis [8, 9], Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) have been investigated extensively from both theoretical and experimental points of view [10]. Several aspects of the GTR and QFT have been analyzed by analogy with a BEC [11–18]. The phononic perturbations in a BEC satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation in a curved spacetime and the corresponding metric possesses a singularity which can be regarded as an acoustic analog black hole. Therefore, BECs offer a unique opportunity to explore the basic principles of QFT in the curved spacetime. In addition, loading ultracold atomic gasses into optical lattices has opened a promising route oriented towards the investigation of strongly correlated quantum many-body systems [19–22]. There is a close analogy between ultracold atomic gasses inside light-induced periodic potentials and electrons in crystal lattices. The nonlinear atom-atom interactions are responsible for the collective fluid-like behavior in BEC [10, 19]. Understanding quantum phase transitions and their underlying physics is one of the goals of many-body physics. Superfluid to Mott insulator phase transition, first experimentally reported in Ref. [23], is the most famous example of such phase transitions at zero temperature. The high flexibility and tunability of optical lattices and the condensate provide us a unique opportunity to explore the quantum phase transition [23]. Long decoherence time (of the order of seconds) makes the trapped bosonic atoms in an optical lattice to be a good candidate for the applications of quantum simulations [20]. The dynamics of ultracold bosonic atoms in an optical lattice is effectively described by a discrete Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian [19, 20, 24, 25], which describes the tunneling of trapped atoms between the lowest vibrational states of the optical lattice.

Discrete Hamiltonians mainly arising in solid state physics have a great ability to be employed as quantum simulators to investigate QFT on lattice [26–30]. Dealing with a differential equation, we always approximate the evolution of a continuous field by its finite difference in a discrete space. In other words, continuous fields can be viewed as the limiting case of discrete fields when the distance between two adjacent points tends to zero. Electrons in a sheet of graphene have a dynamics analogous to the quantum field propagating in a discrete space [30]. The effect of curvature on the current flow lines in elastically deformed graphene sheets has been studied in [31]. The Dirac Hamiltonian describing electrons and

* r.roknizadeh@gmail.com

positrons moving in an external field has been simulated by ultracold atoms in bichromatic optical lattices [29].

Inspired by the existing studies on the analogy between the Bose-Hubbard model and a trapped BEC in an optical lattice, and also motivated by the analogy between curved spacetimes and BECs, in the present contribution, by applying the discrete differential geometry, we investigate the analog spacetime corresponding to the phase/density fluctuations of a trapped BEC in an optical lattice based on the Bose-Hubbard model. The discrete geometric formulation of the quantum fields is of a great significance to understand the quantum phase transitions occurring in the system. To explore the dynamics of the system, by applying the mean-field approximation, we first derive the discrete equations of motion for the mean field plus fluctuations and then, by using the density-phase representation, we obtain the dynamics of the density as well as the phase fluctuations. In the hydrodynamic limit, the phase/density fluctuations of the quantum field are found to obey the covariant Klein-Gordon equation for a massless scalar field propagating in a curved discrete spacetime with a specified metric. From the point of view of quantum phase transition, the two different phases occurring in the system, i.e., the superfluid and the Mott-insulator phases can be distinguished by their corresponding effective metrics. In addition, various effective metrics can be simulated by adjusting the system parameters.

II. DYNAMICS OF THE SYSTEM

We consider an interacting ultracold gas of bosonic atoms trapped in an optical lattice. The system is effectively described by the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian [25]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -J\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle}\hat{b}_i^\dagger\hat{b}_j + \frac{U}{2}\sum_i \hat{n}_i\left(\hat{n}_i-1\right) - \mu\sum_i \hat{n}_i\,. \tag{1}$$

Here $\langle i,j\rangle$ stands for the nearest-neighbor sites, $\hat{b}_i^\dagger$ and $\hat{b}_i$ are, respectively, the creation and annihilation operators for a bosonic atom at lattice site i obeying the commutation relation $[\hat{b}_i,\hat{b}_j^\dagger]=\delta_{i,j}$, and $\hat{n}_i=\hat{b}_i^\dagger\hat{b}_i$ is the number operator for site i. Moreover, J, μ and U are the tunneling rate between adjacent sites, the chemical potential, and the strength of the on-site interaction, respectively given by

$$J=-\int d^3\mathbf{r}w^*(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\left[-\frac{1}{2m}\nabla^2+V_{\rm lat}(\mathbf{r})\right]w(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_j)\,, \tag{2a}$$

$$\mu=-\int d^3\mathbf{r}V_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{r})\left|w(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_j)\right|^2\,, \tag{2b}$$

$$U=G\int d^3\mathbf{r}|w(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)|^4\,, \tag{2c}$$

where $w(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)$ are the Wannier functions in the lowest Bloch band. Moreover, $V_{\rm lat}(\mathbf{r})$ and $V_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{r})$ are the lattice periodic potential and the external trapping potential, respectively. $G=4\pi a_s/M$ is the strength of the interactions between different atoms in the BEC determined by the s-wave scattering length a_s and the atomic mass M. The attractive (repulsive) on-site interaction corresponds to $U<0$ ($U>0$). In what follows we limit ourselves to considering repulsive atom-atom interaction. Although, here we consider the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian for trapped atoms in an optical lattice, but we should notice that the Bose-Hubbard model can be employed to describe some other physical systems such as the systems of strongly interacting photons (for recent reviews see e.g., [32, 33]) and coupled optomechanical systems [34].

The Heisenberg equation of motion for the field operator reads

$$\partial_t\hat{b}_i = iJ(\hat{b}_{i-1}+\hat{b}_{i+1}) - i\frac{U}{2}(\hat{b}_i\hat{n}_i+\hat{n}_i\hat{b}_i-\hat{b}_i)+i\mu\hat{b}_i\,. \tag{3}$$

The key concept for the derivation of the curved spacetime on lattice is the finite differences defined by

$$\Delta f_i = \frac{1}{a}(f_{i+1}-f_i)\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta^2 f_{i-1} = \frac{1}{a^2}(f_{i+1}+f_{i-1}-2f_i)\,, \tag{5}$$

where a is the lattice spacing. Here, $i=(i_1,i_2,i_3)$ is the three-dimensional discrete vector. In what follows, we restrict our considerations to the atoms in the simple cubic lattice. Using definitions (4) and (5) and neglecting terms containing higher orders of $O(a^2)$, we can write

$$\partial_t\hat{b}_i = iJ(a^2\Delta^2\hat{b}_i+2\hat{b}_i) - i\frac{U}{2}(\hat{b}_i\hat{n}_i+\hat{n}_i\hat{b}_i-\hat{b}_i)+i\mu\hat{b}_i\,, \tag{6}$$

which represents a discrete version of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation with an effective mass $m_{\rm eff}=(2Ja^2)^{-1}$ describing the trapped atoms in the optical lattice. The effective mass can be controlled by manipulating the lattice depth. In contrast to the small value of J which leads to the large mass, a large value of J provides the atoms a small mass so that they can move freely.

The dispersion relation for waves with frequency ω and wavevector $\mathbf{k}$, is given by [37]

$$\omega_k^2 = 2n_iJUa^2k^2+J^2a^4k^4\,. \tag{7}$$

We notice that for $|k|a<<1$ it reduces to the relativistic dispersion relation, $\omega_k=\sqrt{2a^2n_iJU}k$. In contrast, for $|k|a>>1$, we have free particle dispersion relation $\omega_k=Ja^2k^2$. Relativistic (free particle) dispersion relation happens whenever the wavelength of the fluctuations are much longer (smaller) than the lattice spacing. In this paper, we restrict our attention to the case of long wavelength fluctuations (hydrodynamic limit).

Within the framework of the mean-field approximation the operator $\hat{b}_i$ can be decomposed into its mean value, $b_i=\langle\hat{b}_i\rangle$, and a fluctuation component, $\delta\hat{b}_i$, with zero mean value

$$\hat{b}_i = b_i(1+\delta\hat{b}_i)\,. \tag{8}$$

At zero temperature the fluctuations are essentially due to the quantum noise and at finite temperature, the thermal noise



FIG. 1. (Color online). Schematic illustration of the atoms over optical lattice sites: (a) superfluid and (b) Mott insulator states. The phase transition from superfluid to Mott insulator is archived by a increasing the lattice depth.

may also be relevant. The mean field and the fluctuation operator satisfy, respectively

$$\partial_t b_i = iJ(a^2\Delta^2 b_i + 2b_i) - i\frac{Ub_i}{2}(2n_i - 1) + i\mu b_i\,, \qquad (9a)$$

$$\partial_t \delta\hat{b}_i = iJa^2\left[\Delta^2\delta\hat{b}_i + 2\frac{\Delta b_i}{b_i}\Delta\delta\hat{b}_i\right] - iUn_i(\delta\hat{b}_i + \delta\hat{b}_i^\dagger)\,. \qquad (9b)$$

Equation (9b) is analogous to the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equation describing the dynamics of fluctuations in BEC [10].

III. DENSITY-PHASE REPRESENTATION AND THE EFFECTIVE METRIC FOR THE FLUCTUATIONS

In order to get a clear physical insight into Eqs. (9a) and (9b) we express the atomic operator $\hat{b}_i$ in the density-phase representation, namely $\hat{b}_i = \sqrt{\hat{n}_i}\exp(i\hat{\phi}_i)$, where $\hat{n}_i$ and $\hat{\phi}_i$ denote the amplitude and the phase of the atomic operator, respectively. One can easily relate the usual decomposition of the atomic operator in linearized regime to the amplitude and phase fluctuations denoted by $\delta\hat{n}_i$ and $\delta\hat{\phi}_i$, respectively

$$b_i = \sqrt{n_i}\exp(i\phi_i), \qquad \delta\hat{b}_i = \sqrt{n_i}(i\delta\hat{\phi}_i + \delta\hat{n}_i/2n_i). \qquad (10)$$

Substituting these two expressions into Eqs. (9a) and (9b) leads to the equations of motion for the mean density and the mean phase

$$\partial_t n_i + \Delta.(n_i\mathbf{v}_i) = 0\,, \qquad (11)$$



FIG. 2. (Color online). The generation of an acoustic black hole in the analog spacetime in the superfluid state corresponding to the metric of Eq. (20).

$$\partial_t\phi_i = -Ja^2\left[(\Delta\phi_i)^2 - \frac{\Delta^2 n_i}{2n_i} + \frac{(\Delta n_i)^2}{4n_i^2}\right] + (2J + U/2 + \mu) - Un_i, \qquad (12)$$

where we have defined $\mathbf{v}_i = 2Ja^2\Delta\phi_i$ to be the local velocity of the fluid. Equation (12) can be transformed into the following equation

$$\partial_t\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{v}_i.\Delta\mathbf{v}_i = Ja^2\Delta(\mu + 2J + u/2 + V_Q - un_i)\,, \qquad (13)$$

where $V_Q = J^2(\Delta^2\sqrt{n_i})/\sqrt{n_i}$ is the so-called "quantum potential". Equations (11) and (13) are the mass and momentum conservation equations for the trapped bosons in optical lattice, respectively. The equations of motion for the density fluctuation and the phase fluctuation are obtained as

$$\partial_t\delta\hat{n}_i = -\Delta(\mathbf{v}_i\delta\hat{n}_i + 2Ja^2n_i\Delta\delta\hat{\phi}_i), \qquad (14)$$

$$\partial_t\delta\hat{\phi}_i = -\mathbf{v}_i\Delta\delta\hat{\phi}_i - \frac{c_i^2}{2Ja^2n_i}\delta\hat{n}_i + \frac{c_i^2}{8Ja^2n_i}\xi_i^2\Delta[n_i\Delta(\frac{\delta\hat{n}_i}{n_i})], \qquad (15)$$

where $c_i = a\sqrt{2Jn_iU}$ and $\xi_i = a\sqrt{2J/(n_iU)}$ are the local speed of excitations and the so-called healing length, respectively.

A. Superfluid phase

It is well-known that the nature of many-body eigenstates of the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is dependent on the ratio of the on-site interaction energy U to the tunneling energy J. An experimental way for controlling this ratio is based on the Feshbach resonances method [35] in which the scattering length is controlled by an external magnetic field. Alternatively, the dimensionless parameter U/J can be controlled by manipulating the depth of the optical lattice [36]. The competition between minimizing the tunneling energy and the on-site atom-atom interaction manifests itself as the well-known superfluid-Mott insulator phase transition in the ground state of the Bose-Hubbard model (Fig. (1)). In the regime $U/J \ll 1$ which corresponds to the superfluid phase, atoms tend to accumulate in a single quantum state and delocalize over the

entire lattice sites. The many-particle state of the superfluid can be written as

$$|\Psi\rangle_{\rm SF} \propto \prod_i |\alpha_i\rangle\,, \tag{16}$$

i.e., the state vector at each site can be described as the Glauber coherent state $|\alpha_i\rangle$ with well-defined density $< \hat{n}_i >= |\alpha_i|^2$ and phase $\theta_i = arg[\alpha_i]$. In the superfluid phase, in the hydrodynamic approximation, i.e., in the regime where the characteristic length of the spatial variations of the condensate density is much larger than ξ_i the last term in Eq. (15) can be safely ignored and thus we have

$$\delta\hat{n}_i \simeq -2Ja^2 n_i \left(\partial_t\delta\hat{\phi}_i + \mathbf{v}_i\Delta\delta\hat{\phi}_i\right)/c_i^2\,. \tag{17}$$

Combining Eqs. (14) and (24) results in the following equation for the phase fluctuations of the quantum field

$$-(\partial_t + \Delta\mathbf{v}_i)\frac{Ja^2 n_i}{c_i^2}(\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_i\Delta)\delta\hat{\phi}_i + \Delta Ja^2 n_i\Delta\delta\hat{\phi}_i = 0\,. \tag{18}$$

These fluctuations, within the hydrodynamic approximation, are analogous to the collective quantum field on a curved metric. Therefore, the phase fluctuations of the quantum field obey the covariant Klein-Gordon equation for a massless scalar field propagating in a curved discrete spacetime

$$\Box\delta\hat{\phi}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\Delta_\mu(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\Delta_\nu\delta\hat{\phi}_i) = 0\,, \tag{19}$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the inverse curved spacetime metric and g is the determinant of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$

$$g^{SF}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{2n_iJ/Ua^2}\begin{bmatrix} -\left(c_i^2 - \mathbf{v}_i.\mathbf{v}_i\right) & -v_i^l \\ -v_i^m & \delta_{lm}\end{bmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

Here, the Greek summation indices range from 0 to 2 and the indices (l, m) range from 1 to 2. The line element of this spacetime is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta s^2 &= g_{\mu\nu,i}\Delta x^\mu\Delta x^\nu \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2n_iJ}{Ua^2}}\Big[-c^2dt^2 + (\Delta\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{v}_i dt)(\Delta\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{v}_i dt)\Big]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

At the point $c_i = |\mathbf{v_i}|$ or, equivalently, when $U/J = 2(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2/n_i$ the metric of Eq. (20) exhibits a singularity. We refer this condition as an acoustic analog black hole (depicted in Fig. (2)). In such a situation, the sound waves traveling with $c_i < |\mathbf{v_i}|$ are trapped inside the *supersonic* region and they are not able to propagate backward into the *subsonic* region. Since both sound and the fluid velocity can be controlled by the lattice depth, one can generate an analog black hole in the system. The generated analog black hole is the turning point to the superfluid instability. For a homogeneous lattice $\Delta\phi_i = 0$ or equivalently $\mathbf{v}_i = 0$ the effective metric has the form

$$g^{SF}_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{2n_iJ/Ua^2}\begin{bmatrix} -c_i^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{lm}\end{bmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

which is suitable for the simulation of various analog metrics in the curved spacetime.

B. Mott insulator phase

Starting from the superfluid phase (see Fig. (1)), one can reach the Mott insulator phase by increasing the lattice depth. The Mott insulator regime emerges when the tunneling energy is much smaller than the on-site repulsion energy, i.e., $U/J \gg 1$. In this regime, all atoms are completely localized over the lattice [23]. The many-body state vector of the ground state can be expressed as

$$|\Psi\rangle_{\rm MI} \propto \prod_i |n_i\rangle\,, \tag{23}$$

where $|n_i\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the number operator of particles on the ith site, $\hat{n}_i$. For long wavelength perturbations ($\xi_i \ll 1$) and in the Mott insulator regime, $U \gg J$, one can neglect the first and third terms in Eq. (15)

$$\partial_t\delta\hat{\phi}_i = -U\delta\hat{n}_i, \tag{24}$$

By performing the time derivative on Eq. (14), and using Eqs. (11), (12) and (24) we readily get

$$\partial_t^2\delta\hat{n}_i = -2Ja^2\Delta(\delta\hat{n}_i\Delta\partial_t\phi_i + \Delta\phi_i\partial_t\delta\hat{n}_i + \Delta\delta\hat{\phi}_i\partial_t n_i - Un_i\Delta\delta\hat{n}_i), \tag{25}$$

Assuming $\Delta n_i \ll n_i$, and keeping only the last term in the parentheses one have

$$\partial_t^2\delta\hat{n}_i - \Delta(c_i^2\Delta\delta\hat{n}_i) = 0, \tag{26}$$

Thus, in this regime, the effective spacetime for the density fluctuations is given by the metric

$$g^{MI}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{2Jn_iU}}\begin{bmatrix} -c_i & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{lm}\end{bmatrix} \tag{27}$$

C. Realization of various metrics in Bose-Hubbard model

Finally, we consider two other examples of the realization of spacetime metrics for Eqs.(22) and (27). The Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric [1, 2] is an exact solution of Einstein's field equations of GTR which describes a homogeneous and isotropic universe on a surface of constant time, but it is no longer static (time-dependent metric). The FLWR metric corresponds to a spacetime geometry defined by the line element

$$ds^2_{\rm FLRW} = -c_{i,0}^2dt^2 + a^2(t)\left[dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right]\,, \tag{28}$$

where $c_{i,0} = (8n_i^3J_0^3U_0)^{1/2}a$. With properly modulating the tunneling energy $J(t) = J_0\exp(Ht)$, as well as the on-site energy $U = U_0\exp(-3Ht)$, one can simulate FLRW metric with $a(t) = (2n_iJ_0/U_0a^2)^{1/4}\exp(Ht)$ in the superfluid phase. According to Eq. (2a) one can modulate the tunneling energy by modulating the optical lattice (exponential modulation of the tunneling energy was discussed in [38]). The on-site energy can also be modulated by modulating the scattering length (see Eq. (2c)). A same result can be obtained in the Mott

insulator phase according to metric of Eq. (27). In this case the line element can be written as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \frac{1}{c_i}\left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right). \tag{29}$$

Therefore, by modulating the on-site energy according to $c_i(t) = c_{i,0}\exp(-Ht)$, one can obtain the FLRW metric.

As another example let us consider a homogeneous superfluid. The metric of the corresponding spacetime is a Minkowski metric given by

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{2nJ/Ua^2}\begin{bmatrix} -c^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{lm} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

In general the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed into a flat metric given by $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and a curved spacetime metric given by $h_{\mu\nu}$. A small deviation from homogeneity in the background field, $n_i = n+\varepsilon_i$, manifests itself as a curved spacetime in the metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ given by

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{J/(2nUa^2)}\varepsilon_i\begin{bmatrix} -3c^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{lm} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{31}$$

Therefore, one can obtain analog curved spacetime in the superfluid phase by manipulating the background density. Again one can also use the Mott insulator phase metric of Eq. (27) to mimic the curved space time. In other words, any inhomogeneity in the background can be translated into the spacetime curvature.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have formulated quantum field theory in discrete spacetime based on the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian describing a trapped BEC in an optical lattice. The corresponding phase fluctuations of the field operator can be well described by the Klein-Gordon equation for a massless particle propagating in a curved discrete spacetime. The emergence of the phase transition from superfluid to Mott insulator depends on the system parameters. The superfluid and the Mott insulator phases are associated to a two different metrics metric. In addition, various analog metrics can be simulated by adjusting the system parameters. The proposed approach provides a suitable platform for quantum simulation of continuous quantum fields in curved spacetimes by their counterparts in discrete spacetimes. Moreover, the present study can be extended to some other physical systems in which the Bose-Hubbard model is realizable, such as strongly interacting photons [32, 33] and coupled optomechanical systems [34].

[1] J. D. Walecka, *Introduction to General Relativity* (World Scientific, 2007).

[2] N. D. Birrell and C. P. W. Davis, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space* (Cambridge University Press, 1982).

[3] I. M. Georgescu, S. Ashhab, and F. Nori, "Quantum simulation," Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 153 (2014).

[4] M. Novello, Matt Visser, and G. E. Volovik, *Artificial Black Holes* (World Scientific, 2002).

[5] C. Barceló, S. Liberati and M. Visser, "*Analogue Gravity,*" Living Rev. Relativity **8**, 12 (2005).

[6] W. G. Unruh, *Quantum Analogues: From Phase Transitions to Black Holes and Cosmology* (Springer, 2007).

[7] D. Faccio, F. Belgiorno, S. Cacciatori, V. Gorini, S. Liberati, and U. Moschella, *Analogue Gravity Phenomenology: Analogue Spacetimes and Horizons, from Theory to Experiment* (Springer, 2012).

[8] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, and E. A. Cornell, "*Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Dilute Atomic Vapor,*" Science **269**, 198 (1995).

[9] K. B. Davis, M. -O. Mewes, M. R. Andrews, N. J. van Druten, D. S. Durfee, D. M. Kurn, and W. Ketterle, "*Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Gas of Sodium Atoms,*" Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3969 (1995).

[10] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, "*Theory of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped gases,*" Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).

[11] L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, "*Sonic Analog of Gravitational Black Holes in Bose-Einstein Condensates,*" Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4643 (2000).

[12] L. J. Garay, J. R. Anglin, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, "*Sonic black holes in dilute Bose-Einstein condensates,*" Phys. Rev. A **63**, 023611 (2001).

[13] C. Barceló, S. Liberati, and M. Visser, "*Analogue gravity from Bose-Einstein condensates,*" Class. Quantum Grav. **18**, 1137 (2001).

[14] C. Mayoral, A. Fabbri, and M. Rinaldi, "*Steplike discontinuities in Bose-Einstein condensates and Hawking radiation: Dispersion effects,*" Phys. Rev. D **83**, 124047 (2011).

[15] F. Girelli, S. Liberati, and L. Sindoni, "*Gravitational dynamics in Bose-Einstein condensates,*" Phys. Rev. D **78**, 084013 (2008).

[16] S. Finazzi, S. Liberati, and L. Sindoni, "*Cosmological Constant: A Lesson from Bose-Einstein Condensates,*" Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 071101 (2012).

[17] P. R. Anderson, R. Balbinot, A. Fabbri, and R. Parentani, "*Hawking radiation correlations in Bose-Einstein condensates using quantum field theory in curved space,*" Phys. Rev. D **87**, 124018 (2013).

[18] F. Bemani, R. Roknizadeh, M. H. Naderi, "*Photon condensation and quantum simulation of curved spacetimes in the reversed dissipation regime of cavity optomechanics,*" arXiv:1612.03392 (2016).

[19] O. Morsch and M. Oberthaler, "*Dynamics of Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices,*" Rev. Mod. Phys. **78**, 179 (2006).

[20] D. Jaksch and P. Zoller, "*The cold atom Hubbard toolbox,*" Ann. Phys. **315**, 52 (2005).

[21] M. Lewenstein, A. Sanpera, V. Ahufinger, B. Damski, A. S. De, and U. Sen, "*Ultracold atomic gases in optical lattices: mimicking condensed matter physics and beyond,*" Adv. Phys. **56**, 243 (2007).

[22] I. Bloch, W. Zwerger, and J. Dalibard, "*Many-body physics with ultracold gases,*" Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 885 (2008).

[23] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hänsch, and I. Bloch, "*Quantum phase transition from a superfluid to a Mott insulator in a gas of ultracold atoms,*" Nature **415**, 39 (2002).

[24] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, "*Cold bosonic atoms in optical lattices*," Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3108 (1998).

[25] W. Zwerger, "*Mott-Hubbard transition of cold atoms in optical lattices,*" J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclassical Opt. **5**, 9 (2003).

[26] A. Giuliani, V. Mastropietro, and M. Porta, "*Lattice quantum electrodynamics for graphene,*" Ann. Phys. **327**, 461 (2012).

[27] M.I. Katsnelson, and K.S. Novoselov, "*Graphene: New bridge between condensed matter physics and quantum electrodynamics,*" Solid State Commun. **143**, 3 (2007).

[28] N. Szpak, and R. Schutzhold, "*Quantum simulator for the Schwinger effect with atoms in bichromatic optical lattices,*" Phys. Rev. A **84**, 050101(R) (2011).

[29] N. Szpak, and R. Schutzhold, "*Optical lattice quantum simulator for quantum electrodynamics in strong external fields: spontaneous pair creation and the Sauter-Schwinger effect,*" New J. Phys. **14**, 035001 (2012).

[30] M. Dwornik, Z. Keresztes, and L. Gergely. *Relativity and Gravitation-100 years after Einstein in Prague* (Springer International Publishing, 2014).

[31] T. Stegmann and N. Szpak, "*Current flow paths in deformed graphene: from quantum transport to classical trajectories in curved space,*" New J. Phys. **18**, 053016 (2016).

[32] M. Hartmann, "*Quantum simulation with interacting photons,*" J. Opt. **18**, 104005 (2016).

[33] C. Noh, and D. G. Angelakis, "*Quantum simulations and many-body physics with light,*" Rep. Prog. Phys. **80**, 016401 (2017).

[34] Y. X. Huang, X. F. Zhou, G. C. Guo, and Y. S. Zhang, "*Extended Bose-Hubbard model with pair hopping induced by a quadratically coupled optomechanical system,*" Phys. Rev. A **94**, 043842 (2016).

[35] C. Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, and E. Tiesinga, "*Feshbach resonances in ultracold Gases,*" Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1225 (2010).

[36] R. Islam, R. Ma, P. M. Preiss, M. E. Tai, A. Lukin, M. Rispoli, and M. Greiner, "*Measuring entanglement entropy in a quantum many-body system,*" Nature **528**, 77 (2015).

[37] U. R. Fischer, R. Schützhold, and M. Uhlmann, "*Bogoliubov theory of quantum correlations in the time-dependent Bose-Hubbard model,*" Phys. Rev. A **77**, 043615 (2008).

[38] R. Schützhold, M. Uhlmann, Y. Xu, and U. R. Fischer, "*Sweeping from the Superfluid to the Mott Phase in the Bose-Hubbard Model,*" Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** 200601 (2006).